

CICS TS Performance Tutorial – I/O Tuning

Eugene S. Hudders C\TREK Corporation eshudders@aol.com

407-469-3600

August 4, 2010





DISCLAIMERS/TRADEMARKS

- YMMV
- Remember the Political Factor
- CICS/VS, CICS/MVS, CICS/ESA, CICS TS, COBOL LE, COBOL 2, VSAM, DB2, OS/390, MVS, z/OS and z/VSE Are Trademarks of the International Business Machines Armonk, NY





Agenda

- Introduction
- NSR
 - Introduction
 - NSR File Definitions
 - NSR Buffer Definitions
 - NSR Performance
 - Recommendations
- LSR
 - Robin Hood Theory
 - Introduction to LSR
 - LSR Tuning Areas
 - Overlooked LSR Tuning Areas
 - Recommendations
- Closing





Introduction

- CICS uses two techniques to handle VSAM files within CICS TS:
 - Non-Shared Resources (NSR)
 - Local Shared Resources (LSR)
- In recent years, new VSAM features announced for CICS have been LSR oriented
- The major difference between the two techniques lies in the "ownership" of the resources
 - NSR \rightarrow resources are used exclusively by the file
 - LSR → resources are shared between participating files
- Note: There is an error in the CICS Performance Guide regarding CA splits and their effect on how it can tie up the main task TCB for NSR files – this information is in error
 - There is no TCB lockout as stated in the manual
 - Applies to z/OS as well as z/VSE





Introduction

- I/O generates CPU usage
 - CICS to
 - VSAM to
 - SVC Handler to
 - IOS
 - Start the I/O and eventually back to
 - CICS to have task wait
 - Process I/O Interrupt
 - Create SRB
 - Dispatch the SRB to Post Completion
 - To the CICS Dispatcher that dispatches the task when its turn occurs
- To improve response time and reduce CPU overhead, you need to eliminate I/O
 - Find the data/index in a buffer called a Look-Aside Hit
 - CPU requirements for a Look-Aside Hit is much lower





Non-Shared Resources

NSR





Introduction to NSR

- NSR advantages include:
 - Resources are reserved so one file can be specifically tuned
 - Allows for chained read operations that can give better sequential performance
 - BROWSE
 - CA Splits
 - Mass inserts
- Does not support Transaction Isolation
- Does not support VSAM Threadsafe
- NSR = BATCH Processing





NSR File Definition

- A file is defined as NSR by specifying LSRPOOLID (NONE)
- String number defines the number of concurrent file accesses allowed
- One BUFND and one BUFNI is required per string
- Minimum buffer allocations:
 - BUFND is string number plus one
 - Extra buffer is used for split processing
 - BUFNI is string number



NSR File Definition



- String definition for an NSR file can be a challenging task
 - Many NSR files are over allocated in strings when considering the I/O activity against the file
 - The major reason is that NSR allows duplicate CIs to exist between strings
 - NSR allows STARTBR/READNEXT/READ for UPDATE sequence without an intervening ENDBR
 - This results in two strings being allocated to the task
 - The requested CI appears 2X in VS
 - As a result, many files would appear to be deadlocked due to lack of strings
 - This type of request will not work in LSR
 - Remember that a string needs a BUFND/BUFNI
 - Eliminate strings in favor of more buffers





NSR File Definition

- Additional buffers can be allocated
 - Extra BUFND will be used in sequential operations
 All available buffers will be allocated to the 1st sequential request
 - Extra BUFNI will be used to store Index Set (IS) indices (high level indices)
 - Sequence Set Indices (SSI) are never read into the extra BUFNIs
 - SSI CIs are read into the string index buffer
 - No look aside to other string buffers are done





NSR Buffer Definition

- Example # 1:
 - STRNO = 2 BUFND = 3 BUFNI = 2





NSR Buffer Definition

- Example # 2:
 - STRNO = 2 BUFND = 4 BUFNI = 3





Why would you want extra BUFNDs?

- In the case of a BROWSE request, to read ahead a number of CIs to improve performance of the task
- In the case of Mass Insert, to write behind a series of CIs to improve task performance
- In the case of CA Splits, to be able to move more than one CI at a time to the new CA
- Overall, extra data buffers can speed up the process and reduce I/O requests to the file





- What is the hidden agenda?
 - Browse
 - The number of BUFNDs defined should contain the approximately the same number of records read (READNEXT) by the program
 - For example, if a Cl can contain 5 records and the average # of READNEXT operations issued is 20, then a BUFND specifying 4 additional buffers (5 records/Cl*4 read ahead buffers) would be fine
 - However, what programmer knows on the average how many READNEXT operations are issued to a file?
 - Also, only the 1st BROWSE request would benefit
 - What happens if the BROWSE is ended (ENDBR) before the 20 READNEXT operations are done?
 - Adding additional buffers for sequential BROWSE processing will increase the task response time plus unneeded I/O operations may result
 - In addition, having the data in storage is good for this task but may affect the response
 of other tasks in the system





- Mass Inserts
 - The number of buffers should be around the same number of writes (WRITE) issued to the file at one time
 - Same logic as the BROWSE
 - However, if the number of writes ends before all the buffers are full, then there is no I/O penalty as in the case of a BROWSE
- CA Splits
 - The number of buffers should be large enough to copy 1/2 of a CA at time
 - However, if the file does Mass Inserts or BROWSE operations, there is no way to segregate the buffers for one particular use





- What is the best approach for files that are heavily or mainly browsed?
 - If too many buffers are read, performance of other tasks may be affected
 - The key is to try and get a CISZ that generally accommodates the # of READNEXT commands issued
 If too many, try to get a large multiple
 - This approach can be used for LSR pool files too





- Why would you want extra BUFNIs?
 - Two types of index look asides occur for an NSR file
 - The 1st look aside is for the Index Set records that are in extra BUFNI buffers
 - The 2nd look aside is within the string buffers to see if the Sequence Set Index and/or the data CI are present
 - No look aside possible to other string buffers





- Additional index buffers allows VSAM to load the Index Set records into virtual storage
 - User should allocate sufficient BUFNIs as there are Index Set CIs in the file
 - Consideration should be given to adding additional index buffers if the file reflects CA splits
 - Data CA splits can cause index CA splits creating new index set records





- Determining the number of BUFNIs required entails computing how many Sequence Set Index (SSI) records exist in the file
 - There is one Sequence Set Index record per data CA
 - This is a one to one relationship





• Compute:

- 1) # CAs = (Data HURBA / (# CI/CA*Data CISZ) this represent the # of Sequence Set Index records in the file
- From LISTCAT get the total number of Index records in the file and determine the number of Index Set records in the file: (Total Number of Index Records – # of CAs)
- Determine the # of BUFNIs = (Total # Of Index Set records + # of strings + CA split adjustment)
- 4) CA Split adjustment is any figure from zero to "n", where "n" is the # of additional Index set records created as a result of CA splits









• Example using previous LISTCAT information

2

- Data CISZ 18K (18,432)
- CI/CA 45
- Bytes/CA 829,440 (18432*45)
- CA splits Yes
- # of IX records 4
- HURBA 2,488,320
- # of IX levels
- (2488320/829440)=3 CAs or Sequence Set Records
- (4-3)=1 Index Set Record
- If STRNO=5, then (5+1+2)=8 BUFNI request for the file. The +2 is a buffer for future CA splits at the index level. The CA adjustment is optional and the value can vary



NSR Buffer Definition

- Example # 1 VSAM 2 Index Levels:
 - STRNO = 2 BUFND = 3 BUFNI = 2
 - Requires three I/Os (2 index and 1 data)
 - No opportunity for look aside







NSR Buffer Definition

- Example # 2 VSAM 2 Index Levels:
 - STRNO = 2 BUFND = 4 BUFNI = 3
 - After 1st read, each request would require a maximum of two reads or a 33% I/O operations savings





NSR Recommendations

- NSR files should be reviewed to see why they are not in LSR for better performance
 - For example, Share Options 4 file
 - Command Level Browse restrictions
- If the file is to be in NSR
 - Ensure valid CISZ for files that are browsed
 - Ensure sufficient BUFNIs allocated to hold the entire Index Set indices in buffers
 - Ensure that excess strings are eliminated and the storage used to allocate correct file buffering
 - Do not over allocate BUFND unless the file is prone only to CA splits
- If NSR must be used and files takes CA splits, consider activating the CO TCB (SUBTSKS=1 in SIT) (multiple CPUs)
- NSR and Transaction Isolation are incompatible
- NSR is not supported under VSAM Threadsafe





Local Shared Resources

LSR





Robin Hood Theory

- Tuning LSR files is simply applying the Robin Hood theory in reverse
- In Sherwood Forrest Robin stole from the rich to give to the poor
- In LSR you steal from the poor to give to the rich!!!!!
 - **Poor = Low to Medium Activity Files**
 - Rich = Most Active Files
- In other words the major contribution that low activity files provide to LSR are their resources so that higher activity files can use them (Cruel Reality)





Introduction to LSR

• LSR advantages include:

- More efficient VS use because resources are shared
- Better look-aside because buffers can maintain the Sequence Set Index records
- Tends to be more self-tuning because buffers are allocated on an LRU basis keeping information of the more active files in the buffers at the expense of less active files
- Only one copy of a CI allowed (better read integrity)
- Can allocate up to 8 pools to segregate files
- Supports Transaction Isolation (TI)
- Supports VSAM Threadsafe (Local VSAM)





LSR Tuning Areas

- Pool Definition Dynamic vs. Static
 - Separate Index and Data Buffers
 - Number of Strings
 - Maximum Key Length
 - Number, Sizes and Types of Buffers
- Pool Measurement Hit Ratios
 - Data
 - Index
 - Combined





LSR Tuning Areas

- Tuning Hit Ratio
- Overlooked LSR Tuning Areas
 - Buffer Fragmentation
 - LSR Buffer vs. File CISZ Reconciliation
 - Page Boundary Allocation
 - Buffer Pool Monopolization
 - Maximum Key Size
 - Number Strings Required
 - Number of LSR Pools
- LSR Pool Candidates
 - Share Options 4 Files
 - File Activity





- Dynamic Pool Definition No CEDA Definition
 - Advantages
 - Allows for Quick Implementation and Installation
 - Reduces System Programmer Intervention
 - No Need to Compute CISZ vs. Buffers Required
 - No Need to Determine Maximum Key Length
 - No Need to Compute Number of Strings Required
 - Disadvantages
 - CISZ Contention Between Data and Index Combined Pool
 - Cannot Allocate Hipercache Buffers (If Available)
 - Allocation of Buffers Is Based on a Percentage Not Activity
 - String Allocation Based on % Usually Over-Allocated
 - Slow CICS Initialization (First File Opened)
 - Combined Data/Index Pools Can Hide Bad Data/Index Performers





- Static Definition
 - Disadvantages
 - Requires System Programmer Intervention to Determine
 - Buffers Sizes and Quantity Required
 - Maximum Key Length
 - Number of Strings Needed
 - Exposes System Programmer to Errors
 - Incorrect Buffer Size Selection Buffer Fragmentation
 - Incorrect String Allocation
 - Incorrect Maximum Key Size Specification
 - Requires Planning Not Everyone Likes to Do This!
 - Must Specify Required Buffers, Maximum Key Length and Number of Strings Required – Otherwise Pool Is Dynamically Created





- Static Definition
 - Advantages
 - Separate Pools for Data and Index Can Be Defined
 - No CISZ Contention Between Data and Index
 - Can Optimize Buffers that Have Higher Activity
 - Can Optimize String and Maximum Key Size Required
 - Can Allocate Hiperspace Buffers
 - If applicable, need more than 32K buffers of a particular buffer size
 - Faster CICS Initialization





- Recommendation
 - Define LSR Pools Explicitly
 - Determine Individual File Requirements
 - Data and Index (If Applicable) CISZ required
 - Maximum Length Key
 - Strings
 - Get "Big Picture" of Requirements
 - CICS Performance Tool/Monitor
 - CICS Statistics (EOD)
 - Dynamic Definition One Time





- LSR Pool Effectiveness Is Based on Look-Aside Hit Ratios
 - Generally Accepted Hit Ratios Are:
 - Data 80%+
 - Index 95%+
 - Combined 93%+
- Buffer Tuning Should Concentrate on Improving the Index Hit Ratio First
 - Generally, Index I/O Requests Are Higher Than the Data
 - Real Storage Investment to Improve Index Hit Ratio Is Less Due to Smaller CISZ Associated with the Index Component





- Important Note:
 - LSR Buffer Attainments Can Be Misleading
 - If the 4 KB Buffer Reflects a Hit Ratio of 85%, This Does Not Mean That Every File Is Getting an 85% Look-Aside Hit Ratio
 - The 85% is an Average of All the Files Using This Buffer Size
 - Some Get a Higher Attainment
 - Others Get a Lower Attainment





- Data Buffer Tuning Is Highly Dependent on Access Patterns
 - Good Look-Aside Hit Ratios Usually Requires a Substantial Storage Investment (80%+)
 - The Major Cause Is That the Data Component Is Usually Very Large (vs. Index Component)
 - Good Hit Ratios Usually Result in Files with:
 - Sequential Activity
 - Read for Update/Rewrite/Delete
 - Concentrated Read Activity





- Data Buffer Tuning Is Highly Dependent on Access Patterns
 - Bad Hit Ratios Usually Result in Files with:
 - Disperse Read Activity (Very Large Files)
 - Share Options 4
- Recommendation
 - Buffer Tuning Is Usually a "trial and error" process in determining the number of buffers to add to each buffer size
 - Reiterative process
 - You Add Buffers
 - You Measure
 - If Objective Met, Temporary End, Else Go Back to Add Buffers
 - Temporary End Because Things Change and Require Periodic Observation
 - Tune Buffer Pools and CI Sizes Individually
 - Set Realistic Objectives, for Example:
 - Data 80%
 - Index 95%
 - Combined 93%
 - Define a Minimum of Three 32K Catch-All Buffers





- Buffer Fragmentation
 - Only Eleven Valid CISZ for LSR Buffers (K)
 - 0.5 1.0 2.0 4.0 8.0 12.0
 - 16.0 **20.0 24.0 28.0 32.0**
 - Therefore, a 2.5K Byte CISZ Would Use a 4K LSR Buffer
 - If a 4K Buffer Was Not Available, Then the Next Largest Available Buffer Is Used
 - Some Fragmentation May Be Desired for Certain CISZ (e.g., non VSAM/E – 18.0K)





- Buffer Fragmentation
 - Avoid Unnecessary Fragmentation (e.g., a 6K CISZ Using a 12K Buffer)
 - Certain Default Index CISZ Should Be Forced to an LSR CISZ (e.g., 1536 to 2048 or 2560 to 4096)
 - Virtual Fragmentation Results in Real Storage Fragmentation





- LSR Buffer vs. File CISZ Reconciliation
 - Best Alternative to Reducing Fragmentation
 - Determine File CI Sizes Required and Assign LSR Pool Buffers to Match
 - Number and Size of Buffers
 - Number of Strings (Overall)
 - Set CISZ Standards (If possible) for LSR Pool Files
 - Complex Task, If Done Manually





- LSR Buffer vs. File CISZ Reconciliation
 - Some Installations Simply Define a Certain Number of Buffers for Every Possible Buffer Size (11 Buffer Sizes)
 - Alternate Example:
 - Suppose You Don't Have Any 16K Buffer Users (CISZ Range Is 14K and 16K files)
 - You Determine That You Want to Have Twenty 16K Buffers Defined (320 K) Just in Case One Day You Get a 14K or 16K File
 - This allocated Storage Will Not Be Used Wasted Storage Every Day of the Year
 - Instead, Why Don't You Simply Define Sixteen 20K Buffers (320K) (or Next Useable Size) That Will Be Used Every Day





- Page Boundary Buffer Allocation (Minor)
 - VSAM Requests Buffers on a Page Boundary and in Page (4K) Increments
 - Fragmentation That Occurs from Buffer Allocation Should Be Avoided – Loss of Virtual Storage
 - Allocate the Following Buffers in the Following Multiples:
 - 0.5K Multiple of 8 (0.5K Times 8 = 4K)
 1.0K Multiple of 4 (1.0K Times 4 = 4K)
 - 2.0K Multiple of 2 (2.0K Times 2 = 4K)





- Buffer Monopolization
 - Theory Behind LSR Is to Share Resources When Needed
 - So What Can Be Bad If the Principal Files (Most Active) Control a High Percentage of the Buffers?
 - Even at the Expense of Low Activity Files
 - How Do You Determine If a File Is Monopolizing a Particular Buffer Size?
 - I/O Activity
 - Buffer Hit Ratio
 - Number of Buffers Held (By CISZ)





- Buffer Pool Monopolization
 - Need a CICS Tuning/Monitor to Determine the Number of Buffers Being Held by a File
 - Important If Principal Files Are Not Providing a Good Response Time
- Remember the Reverse "Robin Hood" Theory
 - "Rob from the Poor to Give to the Rich"
 - The "Rich" Are Your More Important Active Files
- Point of Diminishing Return
 - Keep Adding Buffers Until Higher Activity Files Do Not Require More





- Maximum Key Size (Minor)
 - Maximum Key Size Is Important as All VSAM Control Blocks Are Shared and Must Accommodate the Largest File Key of the Shared Pool
 - If the Maximum Key Size Allocated to the Pool Is too Small, Files with Larger Keys Will Not Open
 - Many Installations Force the LSR Pool Key Size to 255 Bytes
 - Although Using This Maximum Can Waste Storage, the Actual Amount Depends on the Number of Strings Allocated Times the Excess Key Size
 - Decision is Installation Dependent





- Number of Strings Allocated
 - Probably Only Tuned When Wait on Strings Conditions Occur
 - String Waits Can Occur If
 - Maximum Number of Strings in the Pool Is Reached
 - Maximum Number of Strings Assigned to the File Is Reached
 - Many LSR Pools Strings are Over-Allocated
 - The Objective Should Be to Have Sufficient Strings to Handle Peak Periods Without Waiting for Strings
 - Try to Allocate So That the High Used String Number Is Around 50 to 60% of the Total Strings Allocated to the Pool





Number Of Defined LSR Pools

- Two Schools of Thought
 - School 1 Use as Many Pools as Possible So That Files Can Be Segregated to Reduce Contention and/or Interference
 - School 2 Use as Few as Possible Pools So That Resources Can Be Used More Efficiently
- Considerations
 - Are the Pools Allocated with a "Fudge Factor"?
 - Which Files Are More Important So That Resources Should Be Allocated to Them?





- There Are 8 (MVS) or 15 (VSE) LSR Pools Available Since LSR Was Made Available to CICS
 - Made Sense in the Beginning Because Buffer Search Algorithm Was Sequential
 - Larger Pools Increased CPU Time to Search
 - Search Algorithm Changed Hashing Technique
- Theory Behind LSR Is to Share Resources When Needed (Repeat)
 - So What Can Be Bad If the Principal Files (Most Active) Control a High Percentage of the Buffers?
 - Even at the Expense of Low Activity Files





- Multiple pool considerations
 - Data Tables
 - Output operations go against the VSAM file
 - LSR pool used for look-aside for records before going to disk
 - ROT = 90%+ Read Operations
 - Low activity reduce look-aside capacity
 - LSR VSAM Threadsafe files
 - Lock mechanism may require more distribution of requests
 - Multiple pools for DB2/MQ CICS regions
 - In case of FOR, single pool is probably better as no VSAM Threadsafe is available (FCQROLY=YES)





LSR Pool Candidates

- LSR Provides the Best Look-Aside Algorithm Within CICS
- Generally, Files (High, Intermediate and Low Activity) Should Be Assigned to LSR Except:
 - Share Options 4 Files
 - Files That Do Not Follow Command Level Guidelines
 Start Browse, Read NextRead for Update (Non-RLS)
 - High CA Split Activity Files (Tune Independently)
- LSR Is the Gate to New File Features Within CICS





LSR Recommendations

- LSR Is Preferred Over NSR Buffering
 - Superior Look-Aside Hit Ratio
- Tuning LSR Involves:
 - Ensuring Proper Number of Buffers Defined
 - Achieve Installation Look-Aside Hit Ratio Goals
 - Eliminating Fragmentation
 - Static Definition of the Pool(s)
- Continuous Review Especially When Major Application Changes Occur
 - VSAM Tuning





Closing

- Use LSR over NSR
- Tune to eliminate I/O Look-Aside Hits
- Monitor File Statistics periodically to ensure that Look-Aside Hit Ratio objectives are being met
- When tuning LSR remember Robin Hood!

